

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigonia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,273	02/26/2002	David P. Buehler	DP-304871	6418
7:	590 09/29/2003			
JIMMY L. FUNKE DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff Mail Code: CT10C P.O. Box 9005 Kokomo, IN 46904-9005			EXAMINER	
			TOLIN, GERALD P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/082,273	BUEHLER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gerald P Tolin	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>	August 2003				
2a)⊠	_	is action is non-final.				
3)	,		consolition on to the media is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers  ON The engeliagetise is objected to by the Everyines.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)  1) Netice of References Cited (DTO 202)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/082,273

Art Unit: 2835

1. Claims 1-7 and new claim 20 are currently alive in view of the 8-14-03 amendment in the current application.

- 2. In claim 1 line 4, "he" should be "the" due to an obvious oversight.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are again rejected and 102 and 103 for the same reasons given in the last office action in paragraphs 5-7.
- 4. New claim 20 which depends from claim 1 is rejected under 102/103 for the same reasons as parent claim 1 as stated above.
- 5. Concerning applicant's remarks, Kerner is said to lack pins compatible for wire bond connection to the hybrid circuit. Kerner's pins 61 are connected to a flex circuit. However, the claim language does not require actual wire bond connection. Only compatibility or capability of such is in the current language. Such a limitation is functional and quite broad. Such is not ignored but is met by the top of pins 61 as shown in figure 5. Such exposed pin tops are capable of being wire bonded-----the claim language requires no more. As such, the language is broader than argued and believed to be properly rejected as stated above.

It is also argued that applicant's bottom has heat sink capabilities. The bottom 2 of the reference could be of metal and such has sink capabilities. Even molded plastic has "heat sink capabilities".

Tugcu's partitions are also argued in precisely what is separated. Partitioning for separation is notoriously known. Such would have been obvious over the applied art to separate whatever is desired. The precise elements being separated are not critical and such was not demonstrated by applicant.

Art Unit: 2835

New claim 20 is also broader than argued. The hybrid circuit is perpendicular to the bottom. No planar axes are established by applicant's claim language. There are a multitude of horizontal and vertical planes that could go through both elements. All arguments have been answered and the claims are properly rejected under 102 and 103.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald P Tolin whose telephone number is 703-308-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F first friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,273

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Gerald P Tolin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835 Page 4

gpt